

UNITED STATES POENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/865,028 | | 05/24/2001 | Andrew M. Weiner | 12818-003001 | 2898 |
| 26161 | 7590 | 09/10/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| FISH & RI | CHARDS | SON PC | TRAN, DZUNG D | | |
| 225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| BOSTON, | MA 0211 | · U | | 2633 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | M | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/865,028 | WEINER, ANDREW M. | | | | |
| V. Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Dzung D Tran | 2633 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>ame</u> | | | | | | |
| ·— | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-79</u> are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to by the Examine and the specific production is objected to be production. | cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | a. 🗖 | Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/865,028

Art Unit: 2633

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1) Figures 1, 4(a)

Species 2) Figure 4(b)

Species 3) Figure 4(c)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2633

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dzung Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3025.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached on (571) 272-3022.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600